# Low Calcium Fly Ash Based Geopolymer Concrete Reinforced with Steel Fibers

Febin Manjaly PG Student

Department of Civil Engineering Akshaya College of Engineering and Technology Kinathukadavu, Coimbatore-09 P.A. Edwin Fernando Assistant professor

Department of Civil Engineering Akshaya College of Engineering and Technology Kinathukadavu, Coimbatore-09

Abstract- Concrete usage around the globe is second only to water. An important ingredient in the conventional concrete is the Portland cement. The production of one ton of cement emits approximately one ton of carbon dioxide to the atmosphere. Moreover, cement production is not only highly energy-intensive, next to steel and Aluminium, but also consumes significant amount of natural resources. In order to meet infrastructure developments, the usage of concrete is on the increase. Do we build additional cement plants to meet this increase in demand for concrete, or find alternative binders to make concrete? We have conducted some research on the manufacture and behavior, of "Low-Calcium Fly Ash-Based Geopolymer Concrete Reinforced with steel fibres". This concrete uses no Portland cement; instead, we use the low-calcium fly ash from a local coal burning power station as a source material to make the binder necessary to manufacture concrete. This study is aimed to know the compressive strength of concrete using the basic materials like Fine Aggregate, Coarse Aggregate and the binder material as fly ash the flexural strength study between the geopolymer concrete with and without steel fiber. Various properties like Workability, Initial setting time, compressive strength and Split tensile Strength has been studied are to be compared with geopolymer concrete with and without steel fibre.

## I. INTRODUCTION

## 1.1GENERAL

Demand for concrete as construction material is on the increase so as the production of cement. The production of cement is increasing about 3% annually. Although the use of Portland cement is unavoidable in the foreseeable future, many efforts are being made to reduce the use of Portland cement in concrete. Here we are reducing the usage of cement by developing alternate materials.

## 1.2 HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

Geopolymers are inorganic polymeric binding materials, firstly developed by Joseph Davidovits in 1970, which is applicable since 1972 in France, Europe, and USA. Its chemistry concept was invented in 1979 with the creation of a non-for profit scientific organization. This application shows genuine geopolymer products having brilliantly withstood 25 years of use and that are continuously commercialized.

## 1.3 GEOPOLYMER CONCRETE

Geopolymer is a binding material, it's a term used to describe inorganic polymers based on alumino-silicates. Geopolymer binders are used together with aggregates to produce geopolymer concrete. It is extremely different from that of ordinary concrete. Setting mechanism depends on polymerization process. Applications of geopolymer concrete are in precast concrete product like railway sleepers, electric power poles, etc. It is also used for marine structures and waste containments.

2. MATERIALS USED

2.1 FLY ASH



FIGURE 1.1 Fly Ash

Flyash, a pulverized fuel ash (known as PFA) is a finely divided residue form combination of powedered coal/lignite in modern plants such as thermal power station. Since fly ash chiefly contains lime, silica. It is a good pozzolona and can readily be used for partial replacement of cement. Fly ash from Mettur power plant was used for the study. The properties of the flyash is given in table.

TABLE 1.Physical Properties

Physical Properties	Values	
Fineness modulus	7.86	
Specific gravity	2.10	

## **TABLE 2.** Chemical Properties

Chemical composition	PERCENTAGE
Silica	59.62
Alumina	26.43
Iron Oxide	6.61
Calcium Oxide	1.20

Magnesium Oxide	0.76
Sulphur-tri- Oxide	0.58
Titanium Oxide	1.56
Loss of ignition	1.76

## 2.2 COARSE AGGREGATE



FIGURE 1.2 Coarse Aggregate

Aggregates are collected from approved quarry and Aggregates having size ranging from 10mm and 20mm are used. The tests are carried out or Coarse Aggregates as per IS 2386-1963 and the results are given in Table 3.

TABLE 3. Properties of Coarse Aggregate

Properties	Value
Specific gravity	2.83

Fineness modules	6.4
Size	Passing through 20mm sieve and retained in 10mm sieve

## 2.3 FINE AGGREGATES



FIGURE 1.3 Fine Aggregate

The river sand is used which passes through 4.75mm sieve. Physical properties of aggregates are as per IS 2386-1963 and the results are given in Table4.

Properties	Value
Specific gravity	2.6
Fineness modules	2.85
Size	Passing through 4.75mm sieve

TABLE 4. Properties of river sand

## 2.4 ALKALINE LIQUID

Sodium Silicate (Na2SiO3) and Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH) has been used in GPC and the combination of this solution is called as alkaline liquids. Instead of Sodium Silicate and Sodium Hydroxide, Potassium Silicate and Potassium Hydroxide (KOH) can also be used. For this project, we have got the sufficient sodium silicate from micro fine chemicals. A combination of sodium silicate and sodium hydroxide is to be chosen as the alkaline liquid.

A combination of sodium silicate solution and potassium hydroxide pellets can be used for preparing the alkaline liquid. The KOH solution is prepared by adding 448.8gms. It is recommended that the alkaline liquid is prepared by mixing both the solutions together at least 24 hours prior to use.

The sodium silicate solution is commercially available in different grades. The sodium silicate solution A53 with SiO2-to-Na2O ratio by mass of approximately, i.e., SiO2 = 29.4%, Na2O = 14.7%, and water = 55.9% by mass, is recommended.



FIGURE 1.4 Alkaline Liquid

2.5 STEEL FIBER



FIGURE 1.5 Steel Fiber

Several studies have shown that fiber addition is an efficient method to improve the mechanical performance of brittle matrices as mortars and concretes by cracking arresting, also it is well known the increase in fracture toughness provided by fiber bridging on the main crack plane prior to crack extension. In this study corrugated steel fibre with the aspect ratio50 is chosen. Corrugated steel fibres offer cost efficient concrete reinforcement. The fibres are made from lowcarbon, cold drawn steel wire. They are evenlydistributed in concrete mixtures to improve the impact resistance, fatigue endurance and shear strength of concrete.

2.6 WATER

Casting and curing of specimens were done with the portable well and bore water.

2.6.1 Hardness

Total hardness of sample water = 200ppm

Permanent hardness= 185ppm

Temporary hardness =15ppm

Amount of OH content in 1 lit of

Water sample in terms of CaCO3 = 2325ppm

Amount of Ca present in 1 lit of water= 300ppm

2.6.2 Turbidity

Turbidity of the given sample= 12mg/lit

2.6.3 Dissolved Oxygen

Amount of dissolved oxygen

in tap water = 4.96 mg/lit

## 2.7 SUPERPLASTICIZERS

Superplasticizers, also known as high range water reducers, are chemicals used as admixtures where welldispersedparticle suspension are required. These polymers are used as dispersants to avoid particleaggregation, and to improve the flow characteristics (rheology) of suspensions such as in concrete applications.

#### 3. MIX DESIGN

Unit weight of concrete = 2400 kg/m3

Mass of combined aggregate = 72%

Mass of concrete = 0.72 X 2400

= 1728 kg/m3

10 mm coarse aggregate = 70%

= 70/100 X 1728

= 1209.6 kg/m3

4.75 mm fine sand =30%

=30/100 X 1728

=518.4 kg/m3

Mass of low calcium fly ash and alkaline liquid

$$= 672 \text{ kg/m3}$$

Take liquid-To-Fly ash ratio = 0.35

Mass of fly ash =672/ (1+0.35)

= 497.7 kg/m3

Mass of alkaline liquid = 672-497.7

= 174.2 kg/m3

Take sodium silicate-To-sodium hydroxide ratio = 2.5

Mass of sodium hydroxide solution = 174.2/(1+2.5)

= 49.7 kg/m3

Mass of sodium silicate solution = 174.2-49.7

= 124.42 kg/m3

Materials		Mass ( Kg/m3)					
		Mixture-1	Mixture-2				
Coarse aggregates:	20 mm	277	277				
aggregates.	14 mm	370	370				
	7 mm	647	647				
Fine sand		554	554				
Fly ash (low calciu	m ASTM	408	408				
Class F)							
Sodium Silicate s	olution	103	103				
Sodium hydroxide	soluton	41 (8Molar)	41 (14 Molar)				
Super Plastici	zer	6	6				
Extra wate	r	None	22.5				

## TABLE 5. Geopolymer Mix proportions

## 4. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

TABLE 6.Compressive strength for 8M NaOH in 70% of combined aggregate

Mix no F	Fiber	Liquid/	Materials (Kg / m <sup>3</sup> )					Extra water Slump	Weig	Weight	ght Load	Area	Stress			
	Fiber	flyash	Fly ash	S.S	S.H	C.A	F.A	(ml)	(mm)	Sample	Kg	N	mm2	N/mm2		
										1	8.14	240000	22500	10.59		
1	0.60	0.45	7.69	2.41	1.05	19.5	6.5	200	1.5	2	7.60	200000	22500	8.10		
1	0.00	0.45	7.09	2.41	1.05	19.5	0.5		200	200	200	1.5	3	8.30	290000	22500
										4	7.40	250000	22500	11.15		
								200		1	8.30	370000	22500	16.40		
2	0.60	0.5	7.44	2.59	1.13	19.5	6.5		5	2	8.20	420000	22500	18.64		
2	0.00	0.5	7.44	2.39	1.15	19.5	0.5	200	5	3	8.74	380000	22500	16.89		
										4	8.40	280000	22500	12.46		
								200		1	8.11	200000	22500	8.89		
3	0.60	0.55	7.19	2.76	1.2	19.5	6.5		200	200	12	2	8.32	210000	22500	9.31
5	0.00	0.55	7.17	2.70	1.2	17.5	0.5				200	200	12	3	8.9	300000
										4	8.44	210000	22500	9.36		
										1	8.20	200000	22500	8.89		
4	0.60	0.6	6.98	2.92	1.27	19.5	6.5	200	200	200	23	2	8.10	190000	22500	8.46
-	0.00	0.0	0.70	2.72	1.27	19.5	0.5	200		3	8.33	200000	22500	8.90		
										4	8.03	100000	22500	4.47		

Mix Fiber		Liquid/	Materials (Kg / m <sup>3</sup> )					Extra water	Extra water Slump	G 1	Weight	Load	Area	Stress	
no	Fiber	flyash	Fly ash	S.S	S.H	C.A	F.A	(ml)	(mm)	Sample	Kg	Ν	mm2	N/mm2	
										1	8.24	260000	22500	11.57	
1	0.60	0.5	6.94	2.42	1.05	20	6.69	206	3	2	8.39	230000	22500	10.29	
										3	8.70	290000	22500	12.84	
										4	8.24	90000	22500	4.07	
										1	8.14	290000	22500	12.85	
2	0.60	0.55	6.72	2.57	1.12	20	20 6.69	6.69	206	4	2	8.70	240000	22500	10.69
											3	8.16	280000	22500	12.46
										4	8.34	230000	22500	10.25	
										1	8.68	200000	22500	8.89	
3	0.60	0.6	6.51	2.72	1.18	20	6.69	206	20	2	8.69	410000	22500	18.23	
										3	8.43	180000	22500	8.10	
										4	8.29	350000	22500	15.57	

TABLE 7. Compressive strength for 10M NaOH in 72% of combined aggregate

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

Geopolymer concrete is a new invention in the world of concrete in which cement is totally replaced by industrial

waste which contributes towards the global worming by

reducing use of cement and utilisation of by products like

fly ash. Since geopolymer concrete is more brittle than

conventional concrete, steel fibres are used to make it an

elastic one. Water content plays an important role in determining the compressive strength of geopolymer concrete

## **REFERENCES:**

Davidovits, J. (1994) "High-Alkali Cements for 21st Century Concretes. In concrete Technology, Past, Present and Future", Proceedings of V. Mohan Malhotra Symposium, Editor: P. Kumar Metha, ACI SP- 144, 383-397.

Hardjito, D. and Rangan, B. V. (2005) Development and Properties of Low-Calcium Fly Ash-based Geopolymer Concrete, Research Report GC1, Faculty of Engineering, Curtin University of Technology, Perth, available at espace@curtin.

] Bhattacharjee U, Kandpal TC. Potential of fly utilization in India. Energy

2002;27:151-66.

Burke M. CCP experts gather in India. In: Ash at work, vol. 2. CO 80014, USA: American Coal Ash Association; 2007. 17–19.

Iyer RS, Scott JA. Power station fly ash – a review of value-added utilization outside of the construction industry. Resour Conserv Recycl 2001;31:217–28.

Kikuchi R. Application of coal ash to environmental improvement – transformation into zeolite, potassium fertilizer, and FGD absorbent. Resour Conserv Recycl 1999;27:333–46.

Querol X, Moreno N, Umana JC, Alastuey A, Hernandez E, Lopez-Soler A, et al. Synthesis of zeolites from coal fly ash: an overview. Int J Coal Geol 2002;50:413–23.

http://flyashindia.com/properties.htm.

Roy WR, Thiery RG, Schuller RM, Suloway JJ. Coal fly ash: a review of the literature and proposed classification system with emphasis on environmental impacts. Environmental geology notes 96. Champaign, IL: Illinois State Geological Survey; 1981.

Tolle DA, Arthur MF, Pomeroy SE. Fly ash use for agriculture and land

reclamation: a critical literature review and identification of additional research needs. RP-1224-5. Columbus. Ohio: Battelle Columbus Laboratories; 1982.

Mattigod SV, Dhanpat R, Eary LE, Ainsworth CC. Geochemical factors

controlling the mobilization of inorganic constituents from fossil fuel combustion residues: I. Review of the major elements. Journal of Environmental Quality 1990;19:188–201